

12/09/99
JCS65 U.S. PTO

Certificate of Mailing

Date of Deposit December 9, 1999

Label Number: EJ770213925US

I hereby certify under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" with sufficient postage on the date indicated above and is addressed to: BOX PATENT APPLICATION, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Sandra Marxen

Printed name of person mailing correspondence

Sandra Marxen
Signature of person mailing correspondence

JCS65 U.S. PTO
09/456693

12/09/99

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL UNDER 37 CFR §1.53(b)


Attorney Docket Number	50036/021002
Applicant	Dasa Lipovsek
Title	PROTEIN SCAFFOLDS FOR ANTIBODY MIMICS AND OTHER BINDING PROTEINS

PRIORITY INFORMATION:

This application claims priority from United States provisional patent application 60/111,737, filed December 10, 1998.

APPLICATION ELEMENTS:

Cover sheet	1 page
Specification	31 pages
Claims	7 pages
Abstract	1 page
Drawing	12 pages
Combined Declaration and POA, which is: ■ Newly signed for this application;	2 pages
Statement Deleting Inventors	
Sequence Statement	2 pages
Sequence Listing on Paper	5 pages
Sequence Listing on Diskette	One Diskette
Small Entity Statement, which is: ■ Newly signed for this application;	1 page
Preliminary Amendment	
IDS	
Form PTO 1449	

Cited References	
Recordation Form Cover Sheet and Assignment	
Assignee's Statement	
English Translation	
Certified Copy of Priority Document	
Return Receipt Postcard	1
FILING FEES:	
Basic Filing Fee: \$380	\$380.00
Excess Claims Fee: 66 - 20 x \$9	\$414.00
Excess Independent Claims Fee: 4 - 3 x \$39	\$39.00
Multiple Dependent Claims Fee: \$130	\$130.00
Total Fees:	\$963.00
<p>■ Enclosed is a check for \$963.00 to cover the total fees.</p> <p>■ Please apply any other charges, or any credits, to Deposit Account No. 03-2095.</p>	
CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS:	
<p>Karen L. Elbing, Ph.D. Reg. No. 35,238 Clark & Elbing LLP 176 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Telephone: 617-428-0200 Facsimile: 617-428-7045</p>	
Signature 	Date <u>9 December 1999</u>

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 50036/021002

Applicant or Patentee : Dasa Lipovsek
Serial or Patent No. :
Filed or Issued : December 09, 1999
Title : PROTEIN SCAFFOLDS FOR ANTIBODY MIMICS AND OTHER BINDING PROTEINS

VERIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTITY STATUS
(37 CFR 1.9(f) and 1.27(c)) - SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN

I hereby declare that I am

- ☐ the owner of the small business concern identified below;
☒ an official of the small business concern empowered to act on behalf of the concern identified below:

Name of Small Business Concern: Phyllos, Inc.

Address of Small Business Concern: 128 Spring Street, Lexington, MA 02421

I hereby declare that the above identified small business concern qualifies as a small business concern as defined in 13 CFR 121.12 and reproduced in 37 CFR 1.9(d), for purposes of paying reduced fees to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, in that the number of employees of the concern, including those of its affiliates, does not exceed 500 persons. For purposes of this statement, (1) the number of employees of the business concern is the average over the previous fiscal year of the concern of the persons employed on a full-time, part-time or temporary basis during each of the pay periods of the fiscal year, and (2) concerns are affiliates of each other when either, directly or indirectly, one concern controls or has the power to control the other, or a third party or parties controls or has the power to control both.

I hereby declare that rights under contract or law have been conveyed to and remain with the small business concern identified above with regard to the invention, entitled PROTEIN SCAFFOLDS FOR ANTIBODY MIMICS AND OTHER BINDING PROTEINS by inventor Dasa Lipovsek described in

- ☒ the specification filed herewith,
☐ application serial no. [*****], filed [****].
☐ patent no. [***PATENT NUMBER***], issued [**ISSUE DATE**].

If the rights held by the above identified small business concern are not exclusive, each individual, concern or organization having rights to the invention is listed below and no rights to the invention are held by any person, other than the inventor, who would not qualify as an independent inventor under 37 CFR 1.9(c) if that person made the invention, or by any concern which would not qualify as a small business concern under 37 CFR 1.9(d), or a nonprofit organization under 37 CFR 1.9(e). NOTE: Separate verified statements are required from each named person, concern or organization having rights to the invention averring to their status as small entities. (37 CFR 1.27)

Assignee Name:

Assignee Address:

☐ INDIVIDUAL ☐ SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN ☐ NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

I acknowledge the duty to file, in this application or patent, notification of any change in status resulting in loss of entitlement to small entity status prior to paying, or at the time of paying, the earliest of the issue fee or any maintenance fee due after the date on which status as a small entity is no longer appropriate. (37 CFR 1.28(b))

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent on which this verified statement is directed.

Name: Ashley Lawton, Ph.D.

Title: President and CEO

Address: Phyllos, Inc., 128 Spring Street, Lexington, MA 02421

Signature: _____

Date: 12/9/99

Certificate of Mailing


Date of Deposit December 9, 1999

Label Number: EJ770213925US

I hereby certify under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" with sufficient postage on the date indicated above and is addressed to BOX PATENT APPLICATION, Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Sandra E. Marxen

Printed name of person mailing correspondence


Signature of person mailing correspondence

APPLICATION

FOR

UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT

APPLICANT : DASA LIPOVSEK

TITLE : PROTEIN SCAFFOLDS FOR ANTIBODY MIMICS AND
OTHER BINDING PROTEINS

PROTEIN SCAFFOLDS FOR ANTIBODY MIMICS
AND OTHER BINDING PROTEINS

Cross Reference to Related Applications

This application claims the benefit of the filing date of co-pending application, U.S.S.N. 60/111,737, filed December 10, 1998.

Background of the Invention

This invention relates to protein scaffolds useful, for example, for the generation of products having novel binding characteristics.

Proteins having relatively defined three-dimensional structures, commonly referred to as protein scaffolds, may be used as reagents for the design of engineered products. These scaffolds typically contain one or more regions which are amenable to specific or random sequence variation, and such sequence randomization is often carried out to produce libraries of proteins from which desired products may be selected. One particular area in which such scaffolds are useful is the field of antibody design.

A number of previous approaches to the manipulation of the mammalian immune system to obtain reagents or drugs have been attempted. These have included injecting animals with antigens of interest to obtain mixtures of polyclonal antibodies reactive against specific antigens, production of monoclonal antibodies in hybridoma cell culture (Koehler and Milstein, Nature 256:495, 1975), modification of existing monoclonal antibodies to obtain new or optimized

recognition properties, creation of novel antibody fragments with desirable binding characteristics, and randomization of single chain antibodies (created by connecting the variable regions of the heavy and light chains of antibody molecules with a flexible peptide linker) followed by selection for antigen binding
5 by phage display (Clackson et al., Nature 352:624, 1991).

In addition, several non-immunoglobulin protein scaffolds have been proposed for obtaining proteins with novel binding properties. For example, a “minibody” scaffold, which is related to the immunoglobulin fold, has been designed by deleting three beta strands from a heavy chain variable domain of a
10 monoclonal antibody (Tramontano et al., J. Mol. Recognit. 7:9, 1994). This protein includes 61 residues and can be used to present two hypervariable loops. These two loops have been randomized and products selected for antigen binding, but thus far the framework appears to have somewhat limited utility due to solubility problems. Another framework used to display loops has been
15 tendamistat, a 74 residue, six-strand beta sheet sandwich held together by two disulfide bonds (McConnell and Hoess, J. Mol. Biol. 250:460, 1995). This scaffold includes three loops, but, to date, only two of these loops have been examined for randomization potential.

Other proteins have been tested as frameworks and have been used to
20 display randomized residues on alpha helical surfaces (Nord et al., Nat. Biotechnol. 15:772, 1997; Nord et al., Protein Eng. 8:601, 1995), loops between alpha helices in alpha helix bundles (Ku and Schultz, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92:6552, 1995), and loops constrained by disulfide bridges, such as those of the small protease inhibitors (Markland et al., Biochemistry 35:8045, 1996; Markland
25 et al., Biochemistry 35:8058, 1996; Rottgen and Collins, Gene 164:243, 1995;

Wang et al., J. Biol. Chem. 270:12250, 1995).

Summary of the Invention

The present invention provides a new family of proteins capable of evolving to bind any compound of interest. These proteins, which make use of a fibronectin or fibronectin-like scaffold, function in a manner characteristic of natural or engineered antibodies (that is, polyclonal, monoclonal, or single-chain antibodies) and, in addition, possess structural advantages. Specifically, the structure of these antibody mimics has been designed for optimal folding, stability, and solubility, even under conditions which normally lead to the loss of structure and function in antibodies.

These antibody mimics may be utilized for the purpose of designing proteins which are capable of binding to virtually any compound (for example, any protein) of interest. In particular, the fibronectin-based molecules described herein may be used as scaffolds which are subjected to directed evolution designed to randomize one or more of the three fibronectin loops which are analogous to the complementarity-determining regions (CDRs) of an antibody variable region. Such a directed evolution approach results in the production of antibody-like molecules with high affinities for antigens of interest. In addition, the scaffolds described herein may be used to display defined exposed loops (for example, loops previously randomized and selected on the basis of antigen binding) in order to direct the evolution of molecules that bind to such introduced loops. A selection of this type may be carried out to identify recognition molecules for any individual CDR-like loop or, alternatively, for the recognition of two or all three CDR-like loops combined into a non-linear epitope.

Accordingly, the present invention features a protein that includes a fibronectin type III domain having at least one randomized loop, the protein being characterized by its ability to bind to a compound that is not bound by the corresponding naturally-occurring fibronectin.

5 In preferred embodiments, the fibronectin type III domain is a mammalian (for example, a human) fibronectin type III domain; and the protein includes the tenth module of the fibronectin type III (¹⁰F_n3) domain. In such proteins, compound binding is preferably mediated by either one, two, or three ¹⁰F_n3 loops. In other preferred embodiments, the second loop of ¹⁰F_n3 may be
10 extended in length relative to the naturally-occurring module, or the ¹⁰F_n3 may lack an integrin-binding motif. In these molecules, the integrin-binding motif may be replaced by an amino acid sequence in which a basic amino acid-neutral amino acid-acidic amino acid sequence (in the N-terminal to C-terminal direction) replaces the integrin-binding motif; one preferred sequence is serine-glycine-
15 glutamate. In another preferred embodiment, the fibronectin type III domain-containing proteins of the invention lack disulfide bonds.

Any of the fibronectin type II domain-containing proteins described herein may be formulated as part of a fusion protein (for example, a fusion protein which further includes an immunoglobulin F_c domain, a complement protein, a
20 toxin protein, or an albumin protein). In addition, any of the fibronectin type III domain proteins may be covalently bound to a nucleic acid (for example, an RNA), and the nucleic acid may encode the protein. Moreover, the protein may be a multimer, or, particularly if it lacks an integrin-binding motif, it may be formulated in a physiologically-acceptable carrier.

25 The present invention also includes features proteins that include a

fibronectin type III domain having at least one mutation in a β -sheet sequence which changes the scaffold structure. Again, these proteins are characterized by their ability to bind to compound that are not bound by the corresponding naturally-occurring fibronectin.

5 In a related aspect, the invention further features nucleic acids encoding any of the proteins of the invention. In preferred embodiments, the nucleic acid is DNA or RNA.

10 In another related aspect, the invention also features a method for generating a protein which includes a fibronectin type III domain and which is pharmaceutically acceptable to a mammal, involving removing the integrin-binding domain of said fibronectin type III domain. This method may be applied to any of the fibronectin type III domain-containing proteins described above and is particularly useful for generating proteins for human therapeutic applications. The invention also features such fibronectin type III domain-containing proteins
15 which lack integrin-binding domains.

20 In yet other related aspects, the invention features screening methods which may be used to obtain or evolve randomized fibronectin type III proteins capable of binding to compounds of interest, or to obtain or evolve compounds (for example, proteins) capable of binding to a particular protein containing a randomized fibronectin type III motif. In addition, the invention features screening procedures which combine these two methods, in any order, to obtain either compounds or proteins of interest.

25 In particular, the first screening method, useful for the isolation or identification of randomized proteins of interest, involves : (a) contacting the compound with a candidate protein, the candidate protein including a fibronectin

type III domain having at least one randomized loop, the contacting being carried out under conditions that allow compound-protein complex formation; and (b) obtaining, from the complex, the protein which binds to the compound.

The second screening method, for isolating or identifying a compound which binds to a protein having a randomized fibronectin type III domain, involves: (a) contacting the protein with a candidate compound, the contacting being carried out under conditions that allow compound-protein complex formation; and (b) obtaining, from the complex, the compound which binds to the protein.

In preferred embodiments, the methods further involve either randomizing at least one loop of the fibronectin type III domain of the protein obtained in step (b) and repeating steps (a) and (b) using the further randomized protein, or modifying the compound obtained in step (b) and repeating steps (a) and (b) using the further modified compound. In addition, the compound is preferably a protein, and the fibronectin type III domain is preferably a mammalian (for example, a human) fibronectin type III domain. In other preferred embodiments, the protein includes the tenth module of the fibronectin type III domain (¹⁰F_n3), and binding is mediated by one, two or three ¹⁰F_n3 loops. In addition, the second loop of ¹⁰F_n3 may be extended in length relative to the naturally-occurring module, or ¹⁰F_n3 may lack an integrin-binding motif. Again, as described above, the integrin-binding motif may be replaced by an amino acid sequence in which a basic amino acid-neutral amino acid-acidic amino acid sequence (in the N-terminal to C-terminal direction) replaces the integrin-binding motif; one preferred sequence is serine-glycine-glutamate.

The selection methods described herein may be carried out using any

fibronectin type III domain-containing protein. For example, the fibronectin type III domain-containing protein may lack disulfide bonds, or may be formulated as part of a fusion protein (for example, a fusion protein which further includes an immunoglobulin F_c domain, a complement protein, a toxin protein, or an albumin protein). In addition, selections may be carried out using the fibronectin type III domain proteins covalently bound to nucleic acids (for example, RNAs or any nucleic acid which encodes the protein). Moreover, the selections may be carried out using fibronectin domain-containing protein multimers.

Preferably, the selections involve the immobilization of the binding target on a solid support. Preferred solid supports include columns (for example, affinity columns, such as agarose columns) or microchips.

As used herein, by “fibronectin type III domain” is meant a domain having 7 or 8 beta strands which are distributed between two beta sheets, which themselves pack against each other to form the core of the protein, and further containing loops which connect the beta strands to each other and are solvent exposed. There are at least three such loops at each edge of the beta sheet sandwich, where the edge is the boundary of the protein perpendicular to the direction of the beta strands. Preferably, a fibronectin type III domain includes a sequence which exhibits at least 30% amino acid identity, and preferably at least 50% amino acid identity, to the sequence encoding the structure of the ¹⁰F_n3 domain referred to as “1ttg” (ID = “1ttg” (one ttg)) available from the Protein Data Base. Sequence identity referred to in this definition is determined by the Homology program, available from Molecular Simulation (San Diego, CA). The invention further includes polymers of ¹⁰F_n3-related molecules, which are an extension of the use of the monomer structure, whether or not the subunits of the

polyprotein are identical or different in sequence.

By “naturally occurring fibronectin” is meant any fibronectin protein that is encoded by a living organism.

5 By “randomized” is meant including one or more amino acid alterations relative to a template sequence.

By a “protein” is meant any sequence of two or more amino acids, regardless of length, post-translation modification, or function. “Protein” and “peptide” are used interchangeably herein.

10 By “RNA” is meant a sequence of two or more covalently bonded, naturally occurring or modified ribonucleotides. One example of a modified RNA included within this term is phosphorothioate RNA.

By “DNA” is meant a sequence of two or more covalently bonded, naturally occurring or modified deoxyribonucleotides.

15 By a “nucleic acid” is meant any two or more covalently bonded nucleotides or nucleotide analogs or derivatives. As used herein, this term includes, without limitation, DNA, RNA, and PNA.

By “pharmaceutically acceptable” is meant a compound or protein that may be administered to an animal (for example, a mammal) without significant adverse medical consequences.

20 By “physiologically acceptable carrier” is meant a carrier which does not have a significant detrimental impact on the treated host and which retains the therapeutic properties of the compound with which it is administered. One exemplary physiologically acceptable carrier is physiological saline. Other physiologically acceptable carriers and their formulations are known to one skilled
25 in the art and are described, for example, in Remington’s Pharmaceutical Sciences,

(18th edition), ed. A. Gennaro, 1990, Mack Publishing Company, Easton, PA, incorporated herein by reference.

By “selecting” is meant substantially partitioning a molecule from other molecules in a population. As used herein, a “selecting” step provides at least a 2-
5 fold, preferably, a 30-fold, more preferably, a 100-fold, and, most preferably, a 1000-fold enrichment of a desired molecule relative to undesired molecules in a population following the selection step. A selection step may be repeated any number of times, and different types of selection steps may be combined in a given approach.

10 By “binding partner,” as used herein, is meant any molecule which has a specific, covalent or non-covalent affinity for a portion of a desired compound (for example, protein) of interest. Examples of binding partners include, without limitation, members of antigen/antibody pairs, protein/inhibitor pairs, receptor/ligand pairs (for example cell surface receptor/ligand pairs, such as
15 hormone receptor/peptide hormone pairs), enzyme/substrate pairs (for example, kinase/substrate pairs), lectin/carbohydrate pairs, oligomeric or heterooligomeric protein aggregates, DNA binding protein/DNA binding site pairs, RNA/protein pairs, and nucleic acid duplexes, heteroduplexes, or ligated strands, as well as any molecule which is capable of forming one or more covalent or non-covalent bonds
20 (for example, disulfide bonds) with any portion of another molecule (for example, a compound or protein).

By a “solid support” is meant, without limitation, any column (or column material), bead, test tube, microtiter dish, solid particle (for example, agarose or sepharose), microchip (for example, silicon, silicon-glass, or gold chip),
25 or membrane (for example, the membrane of a liposome or vesicle) to which an

affinity complex may be bound, either directly or indirectly (for example, through other binding partner intermediates such as other antibodies or Protein A), or in which an affinity complex may be embedded (for example, through a receptor or channel).

5 The present invention provides a number of advantages. For example, as described in more detail below, the present antibody mimics exhibit improved biophysical properties, such as stability under reducing conditions and solubility at high concentrations. In addition, these molecules may be readily expressed and folded in prokaryotic systems, such as *E. coli*, in eukaryotic systems, such as yeast, and in in vitro translation systems, such as the rabbit reticulocyte lysate system. 10 Moreover, these molecules are extremely amenable to affinity maturation techniques involving multiple cycles of selection, including in vitro selection using RNA-protein fusion technology (Roberts and Szostak, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA 94:12297, 1997; Szostak et al., U.S.S.N. 09/007,005 and U.S.S.N. 09/247,190; Szostak et al. WO98/31700), phage display (see, for example, Smith and Petrenko, 15 Chem. Rev. 97:317, 1997), and yeast display systems (see, for example, Boder and Wittrup, Nature Biotech. 15:553, 1997).

Other features and advantages of the present invention will be apparent from the following detailed description thereof, and from the claims.

20 Brief Description of the Drawings

FIGURE 1 is a photograph showing a comparison between the structures of antibody heavy chain variable regions from camel (dark blue) and llama (light blue), in each of two orientations.

FIGURE 2 is a photograph showing a comparison between the structures of the camel antibody heavy chain variable region (dark blue), the llama antibody heavy chain variable region (light blue), and a fibronectin type III module number 10 (¹⁰F_n3) (yellow).

5 FIGURE 3 is a photograph showing a fibronectin type III module number 10 (¹⁰F_n3), with the loops corresponding to the antigen-binding loops in IgG heavy chains highlighted in red.

FIGURE 4 is a graph illustrating a sequence alignment between a fibronectin type III protein domain and related protein domains.

10 FIGURE 5 is a photograph showing the structural similarities between a ¹⁰F_n3 domain and 15 related proteins, including fibronectins, tenascins, collagens, and undulin. In this photograph, the regions are labeled as follows: constant, dark blue; conserved, light blue; neutral, white; variable, red; and RGB integrin-binding motif (variable), yellow.

15 FIGURE 6 is a photograph showing space filling models of fibronectin III modules 9 and 10, in each of two different orientations. The two modules and the integrin binding loop (RGB) are labeled. In this figure, blue indicates positively charged residues, red indicates negatively charged residues, and white indicates uncharged residues.

20 FIGURE 7 is a photograph showing space filling models of fibronectin III modules 7-10, in each of three different orientations. The four modules are labeled. In this figure, blue indicates positively charged residues, red indicates negatively charged residues, and white indicates uncharged residues.

25 FIGURE 8 is a photograph illustrating the formation, under different salt conditions, of RNA-protein fusions which include fibronectin type III domains.

FIGURE 9 is a series of photographs illustrating the selection of fibronectin type III domain-containing RNA-protein fusions, as measured by PCR signal analysis.

FIGURE 10 is a graph illustrating an increase in the percent TNF- α binding during the selections described herein, as well as a comparison between RNA-protein fusion and free protein selections.

FIGURE 11 is a series of schematic representations showing IgG, ¹⁰Fn3, Fn-CH₁-CH₂-CH₃, and Fn-CH₂-CH₃ (clockwise from top left).

FIGURE 12 is a photograph showing a molecular model of Fn-CH₁-CH₂-CH₃ based on known three-dimensional structures of IgG (X-ray crystallography) and ¹⁰Fn3 (NMR and X-ray crystallography).

Detailed Description

The novel antibody mimics described herein have been designed to be superior both to antibody-derived fragments and to non-antibody frameworks, for example, those frameworks described above.

The major advantage of these antibody mimics over antibody fragments is structural. These scaffolds are derived from whole, stable, and soluble structural modules found in human body fluid proteins. Consequently, they exhibit better folding and thermostability properties than antibody fragments, whose creation involves the removal of parts of the antibody native fold, often exposing amino acid residues that, in an intact antibody, would be buried in a hydrophobic environment, such as an interface between variable and constant domains. Exposure of such hydrophobic residues to solvent increases the likelihood of aggregation.

In addition, the antibody mimics described herein have no disulfide bonds, which have been reported to retard or prevent proper folding of antibody fragments under certain conditions. Since the present scaffolds do not rely on disulfides for native fold stability, they are stable under reducing conditions, unlike antibodies and their fragments which unravel upon disulfide bond breakdown.

Moreover, these fibronectin-based scaffolds provide the functional advantages of antibody molecules. In particular, despite the fact that the ¹⁰F_n3 module is not an immunoglobulin, its overall fold is close to that of the variable region of the IgG heavy chain (Figure 2), making it possible to display the three fibronectin loops analogous to CDRs in relative orientations similar to those of native antibodies. Because of this structure, the present antibody mimics possess antigen binding properties that are similar in nature and affinity to those of antibodies, and a loop randomization and shuffling strategy may be employed in vitro that is similar to the process of affinity maturation of antibodies in vivo.

There are now described below exemplary fibronectin-based scaffolds and their use for identifying, selecting, and evolving novel binding proteins as well as their target ligands. These examples are provided for the purpose of illustrating, and not limiting, the invention.

¹⁰F_n3 Structural Motif

The antibody mimics of the present invention are based on the structure of a fibronectin module of type III (F_n3), a common domain found in mammalian blood and structural proteins. This domain occurs more than 400 times in the protein sequence database and has been estimated to occur in 2% of the proteins sequenced to date, including fibronectins, tensin, intracellular cytoskeletal

proteins, and prokaryotic enzymes (Bork and Doolittle, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:8990, 1992; Bork et al., Nature Biotech. 15:553, 1997; Meinke et al., J. Bacteriol. 175:1910, 1993; Watanabe et al., J. Biol. Chem. 265:15659, 1990). In particular, these scaffolds include, as templates, the tenth module of human Fn3 (10Fn3), which comprises 94 amino acid residues. The overall fold of this domain is closely related to that of the smallest functional antibody fragment, the variable region of the heavy chain, which comprises the entire antigen recognition unit in camel and llama IgG (Figure 1, 2). The major differences between camel and llama domains and the 10Fn3 domain are that (i) 10Fn3 has fewer beta strands (seven vs. nine) and (ii) the two beta sheets packed against each other are connected by a disulfide bridge in the camel and llama domains, but not in 10Fn3.

The three loops of 10Fn3 corresponding to the antigen-binding loops of the IgG heavy chain run between amino acid residues 21-31, 51-56, and 76-88 (Figure 3). The length of the first and the third loop, 11 and 12 residues, respectively, fall within the range of the corresponding antigen-recognition loops found in antibody heavy chains, that is, 10-12 and 3-25 residues, respectively. Accordingly, once randomized and selected for high antigen affinity, these two loops make contacts with antigens equivalent to the contacts of the corresponding loops in antibodies.

In contrast, the second loop of 10Fn3 is only 6 residues long, whereas the corresponding loop in antibody heavy chains ranges from 16-19 residues. To optimize antigen binding, therefore, the second loop of 10Fn3 is preferably extended by 10-13 residues (in addition to being randomized) to obtain the greatest possible flexibility and affinity in antigen binding. Indeed, in general, the lengths as well as the sequences of the CDR-like loops of the antibody mimics may be

randomized during in vitro or in vivo affinity maturation (as described in more detail below).

The tenth human fibronectin type III domain, $^{10}\text{Fn3}$, refolds rapidly even at low temperature; its backbone conformation has been recovered within 1 second at 5°C. Thermodynamic stability of $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ is high ($\Delta G_U = 24 \text{ kJ/mol} = 5.7 \text{ kcal/mol}$), correlating with its high melting temperature of 110°C.

One of the physiological roles of $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ is as a subunit of fibronectin, a glycoprotein that exists in a soluble form in body fluids and in an insoluble form in the extracellular matrix (Dickinson et al., J. Mol. Biol. 236:1079, 1994). A fibronectin monomer of 220-250 kD contains 12 type I modules, two type II modules, and 17 fibronectin type III modules (Potts and Campbell, Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 6:648, 1994). Different type III modules are involved in the binding of fibronectin to integrins, heparin, and chondroitin sulfate. $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ was found to mediate cell adhesion through an integrin-binding Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) motif on one of its exposed loops. Similar RGD motifs have been shown to be involved in integrin binding by other proteins, such as fibrinogen, von Willebrand factor, and vitronectin (Hynes et al., Cell 69:11, 1992). No other matrix- or cell-binding roles have been described for $^{10}\text{Fn3}$.

The observation that $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ has only slightly more adhesive activity than a short peptide containing RGD is consistent with the conclusion that the cell-binding activity of $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ is localized in the RGD peptide rather than distributed throughout the $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ structure (Baron et al., Biochemistry 31:2068, 1992). The fact that $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ without the RGD motif is unlikely to bind to other plasma proteins or extracellular matrix makes $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ a useful scaffold to replace antibodies. In addition, the presence of $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ in natural fibrinogen in the

bloodstream suggests that ¹⁰F_n3 itself is unlikely to be immunogenic in the organism of origin.

In addition, we have determined that the ¹⁰F_n3 framework possesses exposed loop sequences tolerant of randomization, facilitating the generation of diverse pools of antibody mimics. This determination was made by examining the flexibility of the ¹⁰F_n3 sequence. In particular, the human ¹⁰F_n3 sequence was aligned with the sequences of fibronectins from other sources as well as sequences of related proteins (Figure 4), and the results of this alignment were mapped onto the three-dimensional structure of the human ¹⁰F_n3 domain (Figure 5). This alignment revealed that the majority of conserved residues are found in the core of the beta sheet sandwich, whereas the highly variable residues are located along the edges of the beta sheets, including the N- and C-termini, on the solvent-accessible faces of both beta sheets, and on three solvent-accessible loops that serve as the hypervariable loops for affinity maturation of the antibody mimics. In view of these results, the randomization of these three loops are unlikely to have an adverse effect on the overall fold or stability of the ¹⁰F_n3 framework itself.

For the human ¹⁰F_n3 sequence, this analysis indicates that, at a minimum, amino acids 1-9, 44-50, 61-54, 82-94 (edges of beta sheets); 19, 21, 30-46 (even), 79-65 (odd) (solvent-accessible faces of both beta sheets); 21-31, 51-56, 76-88 (CDR-like solvent-accessible loops); and 14-16 and 36-45 (other solvent-accessible loops and beta turns) may be randomized to evolve new or improved compound-binding proteins. In addition, as discussed above, alterations in the lengths of one or more solvent exposed loops may also be included in such directed evolution methods. Alternatively, changes in the β -sheet sequences may also be used to evolve new proteins. These mutations change the scaffold and

thereby indirectly alter loop structure(s). If this approach is taken, mutations should not saturate the sequence, but rather few mutations should be introduced. Preferably, no more than 10 amino acid changes, and, more preferably, no more than 3 amino acid changes should be introduced to the β -sheet sequences by this approach.

Fibronectin Fusions

The antibody mimics described herein may be fused to other protein domains. For example, these mimics may be integrated with the human immune response by fusing the constant region of an IgG (F_c) with a $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ module, preferably through the C-terminus of $^{10}\text{Fn3}$. The F_c in such a $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ - F_c fusion molecule activates the complement component of the immune response and increases the therapeutic value of the antibody mimic. Similarly, a fusion between $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ and a complement protein, such as C1q, may be used to target cells, and a fusion between $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ and a toxin may be used to specifically destroy cells that carry a particular antigen. In addition, $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ in any form may be fused with albumin to increase its half-life in the bloodstream and its tissue penetration. Any of these fusions may be generated by standard techniques, for example, by expression of the fusion protein from a recombinant fusion gene constructed using publically available gene sequences.

Fibronectin Scaffold Multimers

In addition to fibronectin monomers, any of the fibronectin constructs described herein may be generated as dimers or multimers of $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ -based antibody mimics as a means to increase the valency and thus the avidity of antigen binding.

Such multimers may be generated through covalent binding between individual $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ modules, for example, by imitating the natural $^8\text{Fn3}$ - $^9\text{Fn3}$ - $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ C-to-N-terminus binding or by imitating antibody dimers that are held together through their constant regions. A $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ -Fc construct may be exploited to design dimers of the general scheme of $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ -Fc::Fc- $^{10}\text{Fn3}$. The bonds engineered into the Fc::Fc interface may be covalent or non-covalent. In addition, dimerizing or multimerizing partners other than Fc can be used in $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ hybrids to create such higher order structures.

In particular examples, covalently bonded multimers may be generated by constructing fusion genes that encode the multimer or, alternatively, by engineering codons for cysteine residues into monomer sequences and allowing disulfide bond formation to occur between the expression products. Non-covalently bonded multimers may also be generated by a variety of techniques. These include the introduction, into monomer sequences, of codons corresponding to positively and/or negatively charged residues and allowing interactions between these residues in the expression products (and therefore between the monomers) to occur. This approach may be simplified by taking advantage of charged residues naturally present in a monomer subunit, for example, the negatively charged residues of fibronectin. Another means for generating non-covalently bonded antibody mimics is to introduce, into the monomer gene (for example, at the amino- or carboxy-termini), the coding sequences for proteins or protein domains known to interact. Such proteins or protein domains include coil-coil motifs, leucine zipper motifs, and any of the numerous protein subunits (or fragments thereof) known to direct formation of dimers or higher order multimers.

Fibronectin-Like Molecules

Although ¹⁰F_n3 represents a preferred scaffold for the generation of antibody mimics, other molecules may be substituted for ¹⁰F_n3 in the molecules described herein. These include, without limitation, human fibronectin modules
5 ¹F_n3-⁹F_n3 and ¹¹F_n3-¹⁷F_n3 as well as related F_n3 modules from non-human animals and prokaryotes. In addition, F_n3 modules from other proteins with sequence homology to ¹⁰F_n3, such as tenascins and undulins, may also be used. Modules from different organisms and parent proteins may be most appropriate for different applications; for example, in designing an antibody mimic, it may be
10 most desirable to generate that protein from a fibronectin or fibronectin-like molecule native to the organism for which a therapeutic or diagnostic molecule is intended.

Directed Evolution of Scaffold-Based Binding Proteins

The antibody mimics described herein may be used in any technique for
15 evolving new or improved binding proteins. In one particular example, the target of binding is immobilized on a solid support, such as a column resin or microtiter plate well, and the target contacted with a library of candidate scaffold-based binding proteins. Such a library may consist of ¹⁰F_n3 clones constructed from the wild type ¹⁰F_n3 scaffold through randomization of the sequence and/or the length
20 of the ¹⁰F_n3 CDR-like loops. If desired, this library may be an RNA-protein fusion library generated, for example, by the techniques described in Szostak et al., U.S.S.N. 09/007,005 and 09/247,190; Szostak et al., WO98/31700; and Roberts & Szostak, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA (1997) vol. 94, p. 12297-12302. Alternatively, it may be a DNA-protein library (for example, as described in

Lohse, DNA-Protein Fusions and Uses Thereof, U.S.S.N. 60/110,549, filed December 2, 1998 and _____, filed December 2, 1999). The fusion library is incubated with the immobilized target, the support is washed to remove non-specific binders, and the tightest binders are eluted under very stringent
5 conditions and subjected to PCR to recover the sequence information or to create a new library of binders which may be used to repeat the selection process, with or without further mutagenesis of the sequence. A number of rounds of selection may be performed until binders of sufficient affinity for the antigen are obtained.

In one particular example, the ¹⁰F_n3 scaffold may be used as the
10 selection target. For example, if a protein is required that binds a specific peptide sequence presented in a ten residue loop, a single ¹⁰F_n3 clone is constructed in which one of its loops has been set to the length of ten and to the desired sequence. The new clone is expressed *in vivo* and purified, and then immobilized on a solid support. An RNA-protein fusion library based on an appropriate scaffold is then
15 allowed to interact with the support, which is then washed, and desired molecules eluted and re-selected as described above.

Similarly, the ¹⁰F_n3 scaffold may be used to find natural proteins that interact with the peptide sequence displayed in a ¹⁰F_n3 loop. The ¹⁰F_n3 protein is immobilized as described above, and an RNA-protein fusion library is screened for
20 binders to the displayed loop. The binders are enriched through multiple rounds of selection and identified by DNA sequencing.

In addition, in the above approaches, although RNA-protein libraries represent exemplary libraries for directed evolution, any type of scaffold-based library may be used in the selection methods of the invention.

Use

The antibody mimics described herein may be evolved to bind any antigen of interest. These proteins have thermodynamic properties superior to those of natural antibodies and can be evolved rapidly in vitro. Accordingly, these antibody mimics may be employed in place of antibodies in all areas in which antibodies are used, including in the research, therapeutic, and diagnostic fields. In addition, because these scaffolds possess solubility and stability properties superior to antibodies, the antibody mimics described herein may also be used under conditions which would destroy or inactivate antibody molecules. Finally, because the scaffolds of the present invention may be evolved to bind virtually any compound, these molecules provide completely novel binding proteins which also find use in the research, diagnostic, and therapeutic areas.

Experimental Results

Exemplary scaffold molecules described above were generated and tested, for example, in selection protocols, as follows.

Library construction

A complex library was constructed from three fragments, each of which contained one randomized area corresponding to a CDR-like loop. The fragments were named BC, DE, and FG, based on the names of the CDR-H-like loops contained within them; in addition to ¹⁰F_n3 and a randomized sequence, each of the fragments contained stretches encoding an N-terminal His₆ domain or a C-terminal FLAG peptide tag. At each junction between two fragments (i.e., between the BC and DE fragments or between the DE and FG fragments), each

DNA fragment contained recognition sequences for the *EcoRI* Type IIS restriction endonuclease. This restriction enzyme allowed the splicing together of adjacent fragments while removing all foreign, non-¹⁰F_n3, sequences. It also allows for a recombination-like mixing of the three ¹⁰F_n3 fragments between cycles of mutagenesis and selection.

Each fragment was assembled from two overlapping oligonucleotides, which were first annealed, then extended to form the double-stranded DNA form of the fragment. The oligonucleotides that were used to construct and process the three fragments are listed below; the “Top” and “Bottom” species for each fragment are the oligonucleotides that contained the entire ¹⁰F_n3 encoding sequence. In these oligonucleotides designations, “N” indicates A, T, C, or G; and “S” indicates C or G.

HfnLbcTop (His):

5'- GG AAT TCC TAA TAC GAC TCA CTA TAG GGA CAA TTA CTA TTT
ACA ATT ACA ATG CAT CAC CAT CAC CAT CAC GTT TCT GAT GTT
CCG AGG GAC CTG GAA GTT GTT GCT GCG ACC CCC ACC AGC-3' (SEQ
ID NO: 1)

HfnLbcTop (an alternative N-terminus):

5'- GG AAT TCC TAA TAC GAC TCA CTA TAG GGA CAA TTA CTA TTT
ACA ATT ACA ATG GTT TCT GAT GTT CCG AGG GAC CTG GAA GTT
GTT GCT GCG ACC CCC ACC AGC-3' (SEQ ID NO: 2)

HFnLBCBot-flag8:

5'-AGC GGA TGC CTT GTC GTC GTC GTC CTT GTA GTC GCT CTT CCC
TGT TTC TCC GTA AGT GAT CCT GTA ATA TCT (SNN)7 CCA GCT GAT
CAG TAG GCT GGT GGG GGT CGC AGC -3' (SEQ ID NO: 3)

HF_nBC3'-flag8:

5 5'-AGC GGA TGC CTT GTC GTC GTC GTC CTT GTA GTC GCT CTT CCC
TGT TTC TCC GTA AGT GAT CC-3' (SEQ ID NO: 4)

HF_nLDE_{Top}:

5'- GG AAT TCC TAA TAC GAC TCA CTA TAG GGA CAA TTA CTA TTT
ACA ATT ACA ATG CAT CAC CAT CAC CAT CAC CTC TTC ACA GGA
10 GGA AAT AGC CCT GTC C-3' (SEQ ID NO: 5)

HF_nLDE_{Bot}-flag8:

5'-AGC GGA TGC CTT GTC GTC GTC GTC CTT GTA GTC GCT CTT CGT
ATA ATC AAC TCC AGG TTT AAG GCC GCT GAT GGT AGC TGT (SNN)4
AGG CAC AGT GAA CTC CTG GAC AGG GCT ATT TCC TCC TGT -3' (SEQ
15 ID NO: 6)

HF_nDE3'-flag8:

5'-AGC GGA TGC CTT GTC GTC GTC GTC CTT GTA GTC GCT CTT CGT
ATA ATC AAC TCC AGG TTT AAG G-3' (SEQ ID NO: 7)

HF_nLFG_{Top}:

20 5'- GG AAT TCC TAA TAC GAC TCA CTA TAG GGA CAA TTA CTA TTT

ACA ATT ACA ATG CAT CAC CAT CAC CAT CAC CTC TTC TAT ACC
ATC ACT GTG TAT GCT GTC-3' (SEQ ID NO: 8)

HF_nLFGBot-flag8:

5'-AGC GGA TGC CTT GTC GTC GTC GTC CTT GTA GTC TGT TCG GTA
5 ATT AAT GGA AAT TGG (SNN)10 AGT GAC AGC ATA CAC AGT GAT
GGT ATA -3' (SEQ ID NO: 9)

HF_nFG3'-flag8:

5'-AGC GGA TGC CTT GTC GTC GTC GTC CTT GTA GTC TGT TCG GTA
ATT AAT GGA AAT TGG -3' (SEQ ID NO: 10)

10 **T7Tmv (introduces T7 promoter and TMV untranslated region needed for in
vitro translation):**

5'- GCG TAA TAC GAC TCA CTA TAG GGA CAA TTA CTA TTT ACA ATT
ACA-3' (SEQ ID NO: 11)

ASAflag8:

15 5'-AGC GGA TGC CTT GTC GTC GTC GTC CTT GTA GTC-3' (SEQ ID NO:
12)

**Unispl-s (spint oligonucleotide used to ligate mRNA to the
puromycin-containing linker, described by Roberts et al, 1997, supra):**

5'-TTTTTTTTTTNAGCGGATGC-3' (SEQ ID NO: 13)

A18---2PEG (DNA-puromycin linker):

5'-(A)18(PEG)2CCPur (SEQ ID NO: 14)

The pairs of oligonucleotides (500 pmol of each) were annealed in 100 μ L of 10 mM Tris 7.5, 50 mM NaCl for 10 minutes at 85°C, followed by a slow (0.5-1 hour) cooling to room temperature. The annealed fragments with single-stranded overhangs were then extended using 100 U Klenow (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) for each 100 μ L aliquot of annealed oligos, and the buffer made of 838.5 μ L H₂O, 9 μ L 1 M Tris 7.5, 5 μ L 1M MgCl₂, 20 μ L 10 mM dNTPs, and 7.5 μ L 1M DTT. The extension reactions proceeded for 1 hour at 25°C.

Next, each of the double-stranded fragments was transformed into a RNA-protein fusion (PROfusion™) using the technique developed by Szostak et al., U.S.S.N. 09/007,005 and U.S.S.N. 09/247,190; Szostak et al., WO98/31700; and Roberts & Szostak, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA (1997) vol. 94, p. 12297-12302. Briefly, the fragments were transcribed using an Ambion in vitro transcription kit, MEGAshortscript (Ambion, Austin, TX), and the resulting mRNA was gel-purified and ligated to a DNA-puromycin linker using DNA ligase. The mRNA-DNA-puromycin molecule was then translated using the Ambion rabbit reticulocyte lysate-based translation kit. The resulting mRNA-DNA-puromycin-protein PROfusion™ was purified using Oligo(dT) cellulose, and a complementary DNA strand was synthesized using reverse transcriptase and the RT primers described above (Unisplint-S or flagASA), following the manufacturer's instructions.

The PROfusion™ obtained for each fragment was next purified on the resin appropriate to its peptide purification tag, i.e., on Ni-NTA agarose for the

His₆-tag and M2 agarose for the FLAG-tag, following the procedure recommended by the manufacturer. The DNA component of the tag-binding PROfusionsTM was amplified by PCR using Pharmacia Ready-to-Go PCR Beads, 10 pmol of 5' and 3' PCR primers, and the following PCR program (Pharmacia, Piscataway, NJ): Step 1: 95°C for 3 minutes; Step 2: 95°C for 30 seconds, 58/62°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 1 minute, 20/25/30 cycles, as required; Step 3: 72°C for 5 minutes; Step 4: 4°C until end.

The resulting DNA was cleaved by 5 U EarI (New England Biolabs) per 1 ug DNA; the reaction took place in T4 DNA Ligase Buffer (New England Biolabs) at 37°C, for 1 hour, and was followed by an incubation at 70°C for 15 minutes to inactivate Ear I. Equal amounts of the BC, DE, and FG fragments were combined and ligated to form a full-length ¹⁰F_n3 gene with randomized loops. The ligation required 10 U of fresh EarI (New England Biolabs) and 20 U of T4 DNA Ligase (Promega, Madison, WI), and took 1 hour at 37°C.

Three different libraries were made in the manner described above. Each contained the form of the FG loop with 10 randomized residues. The BC and the DE loops of the first library bore the wild type ¹⁰F_n3 sequence; a BC loop with 7 randomized residues and a wild type DE loop made up the second library; and a BC loop with 7 randomized residues and a DE loop with 4 randomized residues made up the third library. The complexity of the FG loop in each of these three libraries was 10¹³; the further two randomized loops provided the potential for a complexity too large to be sampled in a laboratory.

The three libraries constructed were combined into one master library in order to simplify the selection process; target binding itself was expected to select the most suitable library for a particular challenge. PROfusionsTM were obtained

from the master library following the general procedure described in Szostak et al., U.S.S.N. 09/007,005 and 09/247,190; Szostak et al., WO98/31700; and Roberts & Szostak, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA (1997) vol. 94, p. 12297-12302 (Figure 8).

Fusion Selections

5 The master library in the PROfusion™ form was subjected to selection for binding to TNF- α . Two protocols were employed: one in which the target was immobilized on an agarose column and one in which the target was immobilized on a BIACORE chip. First, an extensive optimization of conditions to minimize background binders to the agarose column yielded the favorable buffer conditions
10 of 50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 0.02% Triton, 100 μ g/ml Sheared Salmon Sperm DNA. In this buffer, the non-specific binding of the ¹⁰Fn3 RNA fusion to TNF- α Sepharose was 0.3%. The non-specific binding background of the ¹⁰Fn3 RNA-DNA to TNF- α Sepharose was found to be 0.1%.

15 During each round of selection on TNF- α Sepharose, the Profusion™ library was first preincubated for an hour with underivatized Sepharose to remove any remaining non-specific binders; the flow-through from this pre-clearing was incubated for another hour with TNF- α Sepharose. The TNF- α Sepharose was washed for 3-30 minutes.

20 After each selection, the PROfusion™ DNA that had been eluted from the solid support with 0.3 M NaOH or 0.1M KOH was amplified by PCR; a DNA band of the expected size persisted through multiple rounds of selection (Figure 9); similar results were observed in the two alternative selection protocols, and only the data from the agarose column selection is shown in Figure 9.

 In the first seven rounds, the binding of library PROfusions™ to the

target remained low; in contrast, when free protein was translated from DNA pools at different stages of the selection, the proportion of the column binding species increased significantly between rounds (Figure 10). Similar selections may be carried out with any other binding species target (for example, IL-1 and IL-13).

5 Animal Studies

Wild-type ¹⁰F_n3 contains an integrin-binding tripeptide motif, Arginine 78 - Glycine 79 - Aspartate 80 (the "RGD motif") at the tip of the FG loop. In order to avoid integrin binding and a potential inflammatory response based on this tripeptide in vivo, a mutant form of ¹⁰F_n3 was generated that contained an inert sequence, Serine 78 - Glycine 79 - Glutamate 80 (the "SGE mutant"), a sequence which is found in the closely related, wild-type ¹¹F_n3 domain. This SGE mutant was expressed as an N-terminally His₆-tagged, free protein in *E. coli*, and purified to homogeneity on a metal chelate column followed by a size exclusion column.

In particular, the DNA sequence encoding His₆-¹⁰F_n3(SGE) was cloned into the pET9a expression vector and transformed into BL21 DE3 pLysS cells. The culture was then grown in LB broth containing 50 µg/mL kanamycin at 37°C, with shaking, to A₅₆₀=1.0, and was then induced with 0.4 mM IPTG. The induced culture was further incubated, under the same conditions, overnight (14-18 hours); the bacteria were recovered by standard, low speed centrifugation. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1/50 of the original culture volume of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 5% glycerol, 0.05% Triton X-100, and 1 mM PMSF), and the cells were lysed by passing the resulting paste through a Microfluidics Corporation Microfluidizer M110-EH, three times. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation, and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 µm filter followed by filtration

through a 0.2 μ m filter. 100 mL of the clarified lysate was loaded onto a 5 mL Talon cobalt column (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA), washed by 70 mL of lysis buffer, and eluted with a linear gradient of 0-30 mM imidazole in lysis buffer. The flow rate through the column through all the steps was 1 mL/min. The eluted protein
5 was concentrated 10-fold by dialysis (MW cutoff = 3,500) against 15,000-20,000 PEG. The resulting sample was dialysed into buffer 1 (lysis buffer without the glycerol), then loaded, 5 mL at a time, onto a 16 x 60 mm Sephacryl 100 size exclusion column equilibrated in buffer 1. The column was run at 0.8 mL/min, in buffer 1; all fractions that contained a protein of the expected MW were pooled,
10 concentrated 10X as described above, then dialyzed into PBS. Toxikon (MA) was engaged to perform endotoxin screens and animal studies on the resulting sample.

In these animal studies, the endotoxin levels in the samples examined to date have been below the detection level of the assay. In a preliminary toxicology study, this protein was injected into two mice at the estimated 100X therapeutic
15 dose of 2.6 mg/mouse. The animals survived the two weeks of the study with no apparent ill effects. These results suggest that $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ may be incorporated safely into an IV drug.

Alternative Constructs for In Vivo Use

To extend the half life of the 8 kD $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ domain, a larger molecule has
20 also been constructed that mimics natural antibodies. This $^{10}\text{Fn3-F}_c$ molecule contains the $-\text{CH}_1-\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}_3$ (Figure 11) or $-\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}_3$ domains of the IgG constant region of the host; in these constructs, the $^{10}\text{Fn3}$ domain is grafted onto the N-terminus in place of the IgG V_H domain (Figures 11 and 12). Such antibody-like constructs are expected to improve the pharmacokinetics of the

protein as well as its ability to harness the natural immune response.

In order to construct the murine form of the ¹⁰F_n3-CH₁-CH₂-CH₃ clone, the -CH₁-CH₂-CH₃ region was first amplified from a mouse liver spleen cDNA library (Clontech), then ligated into the pET25b vector. The primers used in the cloning were 5' Fc Nest and 3' 5 Fc Nest, and the primers used to graft the appropriate restriction sites onto the ends of the recovered insert were 5' Fc HIII and 3' Fc Nhe:

5' Fc Nest 5'GCG GCA GGG TTT GCT TAC TGG GGC CAA GGG 3' (SEQ ID NO: 15);

3' Fc Nest 5'GGG AGG GGT GGA GGT AGG TCA CAG TCC 3' (SEQ ID NO: 16);

3' Fc Nhe 5' TTT GCT AGC TTT ACC AGG AGA GTG GGA GGC 3' (SEQ ID NO: 17); and

5' Fc HIII 5' AAA AAG CTT GCC AAA ACG ACA CCC CCA TCT GTC 3' (SEQ ID NO: 18).

Further PCR is used to remove the CH₁ region from this clone and create the Fc part of the shorter, ¹⁰F_n3-CH₂-CH₃ clone. The sequence encoding ¹⁰F_n3 is spliced onto the 5' end of each clone; either the wild type ¹⁰F_n3 cloned from the same mouse spleen cDNA library or a modified ¹⁰F_n3 obtained by mutagenesis or randomization of the molecules can be used. The oligonucleotides used in the cloning of murine wild-type ¹⁰F_n3 were:

Mo 5PCR-NdeI:

5' CATATGGTTTCTGATATTCCGAGAGATCTGGAG 3' (SEQ ID NO: 19);

Mo5PCR-His-NdeI (for an alternative N-terminus with the His₆
purification tag):

5' CAT ATG CAT CAC CAT CAC CAT CAC GTT TCT GAT ATT

5 CCG AGA G 3' (SEQ ID NO: 20); and

Mo3PCR-EcoRI: 5' GAATTCCTATGTTTTATAATTGATGGAAAC3'
(SEQ ID NO: 21).

The human equivalents of the clones are constructed using the same
strategy with human oligonucleotide sequences.

10 Other embodiments are within the claims.

All publications, patents, and patent applications mentioned herein are
hereby incorporated by reference.

What is claimed is:

Claims

1. A protein comprising a fibronectin type III domain having at least one randomized loop, said protein being characterized by its ability to bind to a compound that is not bound by the corresponding naturally-occurring fibronectin.

5 2. The protein of claim 1, wherein said fibronectin type III domain is a mammalian fibronectin type III domain.

3. The protein of claim 2, wherein said fibronectin type III domain is a human fibronectin type III domain.

10 4. The protein of claim 1, wherein said protein comprises the tenth module of said fibronectin type III domain (¹⁰F_n3).

5. The protein of claim 4, wherein said compound binding is mediated by one ¹⁰F_n3 loop.

6. The protein of claim 4, wherein said compound binding is mediated by two ¹⁰F_n3 loops.

15 7. The protein of claim 4, wherein said compound binding is mediated by three ¹⁰F_n3 loops.

8. The protein of claim 4, wherein the second loop of said ¹⁰F_n3 is

extended in length relative to the naturally-occurring module.

9. The protein of claim 4, wherein said ¹⁰F_n3 lacks an integrin-binding motif.

10. The protein of claim 9, wherein said integrin-binding motif is
5 replaced by an amino acid sequence comprising a basic amino acid-neutral amino acid-acidic amino acid motif.

11. The protein of claim 10, wherein said integrin-binding motif is replaced by an amino acid sequence comprising serine-glycine-glutamate.

12. The protein of claim 1, wherein said protein lacks disulfide bonds.

10 13. The protein of claim 1, wherein said protein is part of a fusion protein.

14. The protein of claim 13, wherein said fusion protein further comprises an immunoglobulin F_c domain.

15 15. The protein of claim 13, wherein said fusion protein further comprises a complement protein.

16. The protein of claim 13, wherein said fusion protein further comprises a toxin protein.

17. The protein of claim 13, wherein said fusion protein further comprises an albumin protein.

18. The protein of claim 1, wherein said protein is covalently bound to a nucleic acid.

5 19. The protein of claim 18, wherein said nucleic acid encodes said protein.

20. The protein of claim 18, wherein said nucleic acid is RNA.

21. The protein of claim 1, wherein said protein is a multimer.

10 22. The protein of claim 1 or 9, wherein said protein is formulated in a physiologically-acceptable carrier.

23. A nucleic acid encoding the protein of claim 1 or 4.

24. The nucleic acid of claim 23, wherein said nucleic acid is DNA.

25. The nucleic acid of claim 23, wherein said nucleic acid is RNA.

15 26. A method for generating a protein comprising a fibronectin type III domain which is pharmaceutically acceptable to a mammal, said method comprising removing an integrin-binding domain from said fibronectin type III

domain.

27. The method of claim 26, wherein said integrin binding motif is replaced by an amino acid sequence comprising a basic amino acid-neutral amino acid-acidic amino acid motif.

5 28. The protein of claim 27, wherein said integrin-binding motif is replaced by an amino acid sequence comprising serine-glycine-glutamate.

29. The method of claim 26, wherein said at least one loop of said fibronectin type III domain is randomized.

10 30. The method of claim 26, wherein said protein comprises the tenth module of said fibronectin type III domain.

31. The protein of claim 26, wherein said protein is part of a fusion protein.

32. The protein of claim 31, wherein said fusion protein further comprises an immunoglobulin F_c domain.

15 33. The protein of claim 31, wherein said fusion protein further comprises a complement protein.

34. The protein of claim 31, wherein said fusion protein further

comprises a toxin protein.

35. The protein of claim 31, wherein said fusion protein further comprises an albumin protein.

36. The method of claim 26, wherein said mammal is a human.

5 37. A method for obtaining a protein which binds to a compound, said method comprising:

 (a) contacting said compound with a candidate protein, said candidate protein comprising a fibronectin type III domain having at least one randomized loop, said contacting being carried out under conditions that allow compound-protein complex formation; and
10

 (b) obtaining, from said complex, said protein which binds to said compound.

 38. A method for obtaining a compound which binds to a protein, said protein comprising a fibronectin type III domain having at least one randomized loop, said method comprising:
15

 (a) contacting said protein with a candidate compound, said contacting being carried out under conditions that allow compound-protein complex formation; and

 (b) obtaining, from said complex, said compound which binds to said
20 protein.

39. The method of claim 37, said method further comprising randomizing at least one loop of said fibronectin type III domain of said protein obtained in step (b) and repeating said steps (a) and (b) using said further randomized protein.

5 40. The method of claim 38, said method further comprising modifying said compound obtained in step (b) and repeating said steps (a) and (b) using said further modified compound.

41. The method of claim 37 or 38, wherein said compound is a protein.

10 42. The method of claim 37 or 38, wherein said fibronectin type III domain is a mammalian fibronectin type III domain.

43. The method of claim 42, wherein said fibronectin type III domain is a human fibronectin type III domain.

44. The method of claim 37 or 38, wherein said protein comprises the tenth module of said fibronectin type III domain (¹⁰F_n3).

15 45. The method of claim 44, wherein said compound binding is mediated by one ¹⁰F_n3 loop.

46. The method of claim 44, wherein said compound binding is mediated by two ¹⁰F_n3 loops.

47. The method of claim 44, wherein said compound binding is mediated by three ¹⁰F_n3 loops.

48. The method of claim 44, wherein the second loop of said ¹⁰F_n3 is extended in length relative to the naturally-occurring module.

5 49. The method of claim 44, wherein said ¹⁰F_n3 lacks an integrin-binding motif.

50. The method of claim 37, wherein said compound is immobilized on a solid support.

10 51. The method of claim 38, wherein said protein is immobilized on a solid support.

52. The method of claim 50 or 51, wherein said solid support is a column or microchip.

PROTEIN SCAFFOLDS FOR ANTIBODY MIMICS

AND OTHER BINDING PROTEINS

Abstract of the Disclosure

Disclosed herein are proteins that include a fibronectin type III domain having at least one randomized loop. Also disclosed herein are nucleic acids encoding such proteins and the use of such proteins in methods for evolving novel compound-binding species and their ligands.

\\Ceserver\documents\50036\50036.021002 Utility Application.wpd




FIG. 1

FIG. 1 is a schematic diagram of a system for the detection of a target molecule. The system includes a target molecule (1) which is bound to a surface (2). A probe molecule (3) is bound to the surface (2) and is in contact with the target molecule (1). The probe molecule (3) is labeled with a fluorescent tag (4) which emits light (5) when excited by a light source (6). The light (5) is detected by a detector (7) which is connected to a computer (8) for data processing.






FIG. 2

RECEIVED BY THE U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20250



FIG. 3








FIG. 6

FIG. 6 shows two views of the protein complex. The left view is labeled 'RGB' and the right view is labeled '10Fn3'. The structures are rendered in a black and white, stippled style, showing the surface topology of the proteins.



7Fn3

8Fn3

9Fn3

10Fn3

FIG. 7




Figure 8




Figure 9




Figure 10




Figure 11




Figure 12

SEQUENCE LISTING

<110> Dasa Lipovsek

<120> PROTEIN SCAFFOLDS FOR ANTIBODY MIMICS
AND OTHER BINDING PROTEINS

<130> 50036/021002

<150> 60/111,737

<151> 1998-12-10

<160> 21

<170> FastSEQ for Windows Version 4.0

<210> 1

<211> 122

<212> DNA

<213> Homo sapiens

<400> 1

ggaattccta atacgactca ctatagggac aattactatt tacaattaca atgcatcacc	60
atcaccatca cgtttctgat gttccgaggg acctggaagt tgttgctgcg acccccacca	120
gc	122

<210> 2

<211> 104

<212> DNA

<213> Homo sapiens

<400> 2

ggaattccta atacgactca ctatagggac aattactatt tacaattaca atggtttctg	60
atgttccgag ggacctggaa gttgttgctg cgacccccac cagc	104

<210> 3

<211> 126

<212> DNA

<213> Homo sapiens

<220>

<221> misc_feature

<222> (1)...(126)

<223> n = A,T,C or G

<221> misc_feature

<222> (1)...(126)

<223> s = C or G

<400> 3
agcggatgcc ttgtcgtcgt cgtccttgta gtcgctcttc cctgtttctc cgtaagtgat 60
cctgtaatat ctsnnsnnsn nsnnnsnnsn snnccagctg atcagtaggc tggtaggggt 120
cgcagc 126

<210> 4
<211> 62
<212> DNA
<213> Homo sapiens

<400> 4
agcggatgcc ttgtcgtcgt cgtccttgta gtcgctcttc cctgtttctc cgtaagtgat 60
cc 62

<210> 5
<211> 99
<212> DNA
<213> Homo sapiens

<400> 5
ggaattccta atacgactca ctatagggac aattactatt tacaattaca atgcatcacc 60
atcaccatca cctcttcaca ggaggaaata gccctgtcc 99

<210> 6
<211> 132
<212> DNA
<213> Homo sapiens

<220>
<221> misc_feature
<222> (1) ... (132)
<223> n = A,T,C or G

<221> misc_feature
<222> (1) ... (132)
<223> s = C or G

<400> 6
agcggatgcc ttgtcgtcgt cgtccttgta gtcgctcttc gtataatcaa ctccagggtt 60
aaggccgctg atggtagctg tsnnnsnnsn snnaggcaca gtgaactcct ggacagggtt 120
atttcctcct gt 132

<210> 7
<211> 64
<212> DNA
<213> Homo sapiens

<400> 7
agcggatgcc ttgtcgtcgt cgtccttgta gtcgctcttc gtataatcaa ctccagggtt 60
aagg 64

<210> 8
 <211> 101
 <212> DNA
 <213> Homo sapiens

<400> 8
 ggaattccta atacgactca ctatagggac aattactatt tacaattaca atgcatcacc 60
 atcaccatca cctcttctat accatcactg tgtatgctgt c 101

<210> 9
 <211> 114
 <212> DNA
 <213> Homo sapiens

<220>
 <221> misc_feature
 <222> (1)...(114)
 <223> n = A,T,C or G

<221> misc_feature
 <222> (1)...(114)
 <223> s = C or G

<400> 9
 agcggatgcc ttgtcgtcgt cgtccttgta gtctgttcgg taattaatgg aaattggsnn 60
 snnsnnsnns nnsnnsnnsn nsnnsnnagt gacagcatac acagtgatgg tata 114

<210> 10
 <211> 57
 <212> DNA
 <213> Homo sapiens

<400> 10
 agcggatgcc ttgtcgtcgt cgtccttgta gtctgttcgg taattaatgg aaattgg 57

<210> 11
 <211> 45
 <212> DNA
 <213> T7 phage and tobacco mosaic virus

<400> 11
 gcgtaatacg actcactata gggacaatta ctatttaciaa ttaca 45

<210> 12
 <211> 33
 <212> DNA
 <213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
 <223> Flag sequence

<400> 12
agcggatgcc ttgtcgtcgt cgtccttgta gtc 33

<210> 13
<211> 19
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Splint oligonucleotide

<221> misc_feature
<222> (1)...(19)
<223> n = A,T,C or G

<400> 13
tttttttttn agcggatgc 19

<210> 14
<211> 20
<212> DNA
<213> Artificial Sequence

<220>
<223> Puromycin linker oligonucleotide

<400> 14
aaaaaaaaaa aaaaaaaacc 20

<210> 15
<211> 30
<212> DNA
<213> Mus musculus

<400> 15
gcggcagggt ttgcttactg gggccaaggg 30

<210> 16
<211> 27
<212> DNA
<213> Mus musculus

<400> 16
gggaggggtg gaggtaggtc acagtcc 27

<210> 17
<211> 30
<212> DNA
<213> Mus musculus

<400> 17

tttgctagct ttaccaggag agtgggaggc 30

<210> 18
<211> 33
<212> DNA
<213> Mus musculus

<400> 18
aaaaagcttg ccaaaacgac acccccatct gtc 33

<210> 19
<211> 33
<212> DNA
<213> Mus musculus

<400> 19
catatggttt ctgatattcc gagagatctg gag 33

<210> 20
<211> 43
<212> DNA
<213> Mus musculus

<400> 20
catatgcatc accatcacca tcacgtttct gatattccga gag 43

<210> 21
<211> 30
<212> DNA
<213> Mus musculus

<400> 21
gaattcctat gttttataat tgatggaaac 30

PATENT
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO: 50036/021002

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled **PROTEIN SCAFFOLDS FOR ANTIBODY MIMICS AND OTHER BINDING PROTEINS**, the specification of which

☒ is attached hereto.

☐ was filed on _____ as Application Serial No. _____
and was amended on _____.

☐ was described and claimed in PCT International Application No. _____
filed on _____ and as amended under PCT Article 19 on _____.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information I know to be material to patentability in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a).

FOREIGN PRIORITY RIGHTS: I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or of any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate or any PCT international application(s) designating at least one country other than the United States of America filed by me on the same subject matter having a filing date before that of the application(s) of which priority is claimed:

Country	Serial Number	Filing Date	Priority Claimed?
			Yes/No

PROVISIONAL PRIORITY RIGHTS: I hereby claim priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119(e) and §120 of any United States provisional patent application(s) listed below filed by an inventor or inventors on the same subject matter as the present application and having a filing date before that of the application(s) of which priority is claimed:

Serial Number	Filing Date	Status
60/111,737	December 10, 1998	Pending

NON-PROVISIONAL PRIORITY RIGHTS: I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information I know to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Serial Number	Filing Date	Status

I hereby appoint the following attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Paul T. Clark, Reg. No. 30,162, Karen L. Elbing, Ph.D. Reg. No. 35,238, Kristina Bieker-Brady, Ph.D. Reg. No. 39,109, Susan M. Michaud, Ph.D. Reg. No. 42,885, Mary Rose Scozzafava, Ph.D., Reg. No. 36,268, James D. DeCamp, Ph.D., Reg. No. 43,580.

Address all telephone calls to: Karen L. Elbing, Ph.D. at 617/428-0200.

Address all correspondence to: Karen L. Elbing, Ph.D. at Clark & Elbing LLP, 176 Federal Street, Boston, MA 02110.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patents issued thereon.

Full Name (First, Middle, Last)	Residence Address (City, State, Country)	Post Office Address (Street, City, State, Country)	Citizenship
Dasa Lipovsek	Cambridge, MA	45 Sunset Road Cambridge, MA 02138	Slovenia
Signature: <i>Dasa Lipovsek</i>			Date: 12/9/99